as the major cause of the difference. However, the present results compare favourably with literature data. Thus, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1(1) = -0.20 \pm 0.02$ may be estimated from Nilsson's potentiometric data ² in 1 M NaClO₄. Bond, ³ studying the formation of TlClO₄ in 1 M NaF with a type of central ion measurement, obtained the constant 0.32 ± 0.04 . A ligand measurement method gave ^{3,4} for the formation of TlF $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1(2) = 0 \pm 0.4$, in 1 M NaClO₄ as well as in 1 M NaNO₃. From the good agreement with the present results (activity coefficients are probably not very different in 0.5 M and 1 M media), one tends to conclude that medium effects are small. Assuming these to be negligible, the present data yield the following values of the individual constants (eqns. (4) and (9))

$$\begin{array}{l} \beta_1 = 0.00 \pm 0.06 \ \ M^{-1} \\ \gamma_1 = 0.32 \pm 0.07 \ \ M^{-1} \end{array}$$

As discussed in more detail elsewhere, there is also more direct evidence of $Tl^+-ClO_4^-$ association, e.g. from studies of Raman spectra. For Tl^+-F^- , Bell and George sestimated a value of β_1 at I=0, but according to the authors no importance should be attached to this value.

This work has been supported financially by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

- Johansson, L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 11 (1973). Submitted for publication.
- 2. Nilsson, R. O. Arkiv Kemi 10 (1957) 363.
- 3. Bond, A. M. J. Phys. Chem. 74 (1970) 331.
- Bond, A. M. and O'Donnell, T. A. J. Electroanal. Chem. 26 (1970) 137.
- Bell, R. P. and George, J. H. B. Trans. Faraday Soc. 49 (1953) 619.
- Johansson, L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 3 (1968) 293.
- Connick, R. E. and Tsao, M. S. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 76 (1954) 5311.
- Jones, M. M., Jones, E. A., Harmon, D. F. and Semmes, R. T. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 83 (1961) 2038.

Received May 30, 1973.

Corrections to: Synthesis of Methyl 3-O-(3,6-Dideoxy-a-D-ribo-hexopyranosyl)-a-D-mannopyranoside*

GUNNEL ALFREDSSON and PER J. GAREGG

Institutionen för organisk kemi, Stockholms universitet, S-104 05 Stockholm 50, Sweden

Formulae V, VI, and VII are in error. The correct formulae are depicted below.

Received June 15, 1973.

^{*} Acta Chem. Scand. 27 (1973) 556.