isolated from a tobacco fraction (C-6) by preparative gas chromatography.²

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Prof. Nils Hellström for placing the NMR-spectrometer at our disposal, and Dr. D. Austin for making linquistic improvements.

- Kimland, B., Appleton, R. A., Aasen, A. J., Roeraade, J. and Enzell, C. R. Phytochemistry 11 (1972). In press.
- Kimland, B., Aasen, A. J. and Enzell, C. R. Acta Chem. Scand. 26 (1972). In press.
- Jackman, L. M. and Sternhell, S. Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry, 2nd Ed., Pergamon, London, New York 1969.
- Black, P. J. and Heffernan, M. L. Australian J. Chem. 18 (1965) 353.
- Pelah, Z., Wilson, J. M., Ohashi, M., Budzikiewicz, H. and Djerassi, C. Tetrahedron 19 (1963) 2233.
- Reed, R. I. and Reid, W. K. J. Chem. Soc. 1963 5933.
- Elvidge, J. A. and Foster, R. G. J. Chem. Soc. 1963 590; 1964 981.
- Cohen, A. D. and McLauchlan, K. A. Mol. Phys. 9 (1965) 49.
- 9. Forsén, S. J. Phys. Chem. 67 (1963) 1740.
- Forsén, S. and Hoffman, R. J. Mol. Spectry. 20 (1966) 168.
- Crecely, R. W., McCracken, K. W. and Goldstein, J. H. Tetrahedron 25 (1969) 877.
- Alexakos, L. G. and Givens, E. N. Tetrahedron Letters 1969 4345.
- Anet, F. A. L. and Bourn, A. J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87 (1965) 5250.
- Almqvist, S.-O., Aasen, A. J., Kimland, B. and Enzell, C. R. Acta Chem. Scand. 25 (1971) 3186.
- Rottendorf, H. and Sternhell, S. Australian J. Chem. 17 (1964) 1315.
- Bartle, K. D., Jones, D. W. and Matthews,
 R. S. Rev. Pure Appl. Chem. 19 (1969) 191.
- Hoffman, R. A. and Gronowitz, S. Acta Chem. Scand. 13 (1959) 1477.
- Hoffman, R. A. and Gronowitz, S. Arkiv Kemi 16 (1960) 471.
- Hartman, O., Gestblom, B. and Bugge, A. Acta Chem. Scand. 25 (1971) 2547.
- Aasen, A. J., Kimland, B. and Enzell, C. R. Acta Chem. Scand. 26 (1972). In press.

Received October 5, 1971.

A CNDO/2 Study of Some Conformational Properties in Propyl Chloride, Fluoride, Cyanide, and Ethyl Formate

A. BJØRSETH and O. GROPEN

Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Oslo 3, Norway

In recent years, there has been an increasing application of the semi-empirical molecular orbital method CNDO/2, in studying structural and energetic properties of molecules. 1,2 Some of these calculations have been rather successful, 1,3 whereas others indicate that the method should be used with caution.

In the present work the CNDO/2 method has been used to study some conformational properties of a few ethyl derivatives. Only molecules were chosen for which reliable experimental information for comparison was available. The actual molecules were propyl chloride, fluoride, cyanide, and ethyl formate. For the three first molecules rotation was considered about the C¹-C² bond * and for the last about the CH₂-O bond.

Results. The geometries used for the calculations were obtained from recent microwave investigations. The energies were calculated varying the dihedral angle from 0 to 180° in steps of 30° except around the gauche positions, where 5° intervals were used.

In Table 1 the calculated conformational properties of the selected molecules are presented together with experimental data. The calculations predict two stable conformations. Except for propyl chloride both dihedral angles and energy differences are in satisfactory agreement with experimental values. The reason why the calculations on propyl chloride fail is not clear. Possibly, the parameters used for the third row elements are not so well fitted as for the first and second. Also the approximations inherent in the method may be less correct when the third row elements are included.

For propyl fluoride and ethyl formate the experimental trans-gauche barriers are well reproduced. For the gauche-gauche

^{*} The molecules are denoted $C^3H_3-C^2H_2-C^1H_2X$, where X=F, Cl, $C\equiv N$.

	Dihedral angle for stable con- formation ^a		$arDelta E^b$ kcal/mol		<i>trans-gauche</i> barrier, kcal/mol		gauche-gauche- barrier, kcal/mol	
	Calc.	Exp.	Calc.	Exp.	Calc.	Exp.	Calc.	Exp.
$\mathrm{C_3H_7CN}$	0° 127°	0° <120°	1.5	~1	1.8	_	0.9	
$\mathrm{C_3H_7F}$	0° 125°	0° 117°	0.0	0.47 ± 0.31	2.9	$\begin{array}{c} 4.2 \\ \pm 0.15 \end{array}$	1.4	10.1 ± 4.4
$\mathrm{C_3H_7Cl}$	0° 180°	0° 110°	3.0	0 ± 0.5	2.6	_	_	_
$\mathrm{C_2H_5OCHO}$	0° 108°	0° 95°	- 0.6	-0.186 ± 0.060	1.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ \pm 0.25 \end{array}$	9.8	5.2 ± 2.5

Table 1. Calculated and experimental conformational properties.

 $\Delta E = E(trans) - E(gauche)$

barriers, however, the calculated values are not in accordance with the experimental values. Table 2 gives the calculated and

Table 2. Calculated and experimental diople moments.

		Dipole moment (debye)		
		Calc.	Exp.	
$\mathrm{C_3H_7CN}$	trans gauche	3.12 3.07	3.46 ª	
$\mathrm{C_3H_7F}$	trans gauche	1.89 1.83	2.05 _b 1.90	
$\mathrm{C_3H_7Cl}$	trans gauche	1.95 1.83	2.04 ¢	
$\mathrm{C_2H_5OCH}$	O $_{gauche}^{trans}$	1.37 1.21	$\begin{vmatrix} 1.98 \pm 0.02 \\ 1.81 \pm 0.02 \end{vmatrix}$	

^a Ref. 9. ^b Ref. 5. ^c Ref. 10. ^d Ref. 8.

experimental dipole moments.9-10 It is seen that the calculated dipole moments generally are somewhat lower than the experimental values, as is often found by the CNDO-method.1

1. Pople, J. A. and Beveridge, D. L. Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory, McGraw,

New York 1970.

- 2. Klopman, G. and O'Leary, B. Topics in
- Current Chemistry 15 (1970) 445.
 3. Davidson, R. B., Jorgensen, W. L. and Allen, L. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92 (1970)
- 4. Gropen, O. and Seip, H. M. Chem. Phys. Lett. In press.
- Hirota, E. J. Chem. Phys. 37 (1962) 283.
 Sarachman, T. N. J. Chem. Phys. 39
- (1963) 463.
- 7. Hirota, E. J. Chem. Phys. 37 (1962) 2918.
- 8. Riveros, J. M. and Wilson, E. B. J. Chem. Phys. 46 (1967) 4605.
- 9. Mahanti, M. Phil. Mag. 7 (1935) 20.
- 10. Werner, O. Z. Phys. Chem. [B] 4 (1929) 371.

Received October 5, 1971.

The dihedral angle of the trans-form is 0°.