Solvent Effects on Strong Charge Transfer Complexes.

III. Trimethylamine and Sulphur Dioxide in Polar Solvents

JUST GRUNDNES and SHERRIL D. CHRISTIAN

Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo 3, Norway, and Department of Chemistry, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73069, U.S.A.

Recently we reported spectral and thermodynamic data for the strong charge transfer (CT) complex between trimethylamine (TMA) and sulphur dioxide in the gas phase and in heptane.1-3 Internal energy and free energy changes were also determined for the solvation of the individual donor, acceptor and complex species. It was noted that both $-\varDelta G^\circ$ and $-\varDelta U^\circ$ for the complex formation reaction increase as the species are transferred from gas to heptane; this effect is opposite that normally observed in the formation of weak charge transfer complexes and hydrogen-bonded complexes.2,4 In addition, data from the cycle indicate that the energy of transfer of TMA SO₂ from gas to heptane (-10.5 kcal/mole) is comparable to the energy of the charge transfer reaction itself. An interesting result of the spectral investigation is that the peak frequency of the CT absorption band is blue shifted as the medium is changed from gas to heptane to dichloromethane.

The present communication includes additional information about TMA·SO₂ in dichloromethane and chloroform, including complete thermodynamic cycles of the type described above. The results indicate that the complex is further stabilized in these polar solvents, as is indicated by the considerable increase in the equilibrium constant and $-\Delta H^{\circ}$ for the complex formation reaction.

Experimental. The experimental procedure, including instrumental methods, determination and control of solute concentration and the numerical technique for calculating equilibrium constants and extinction coefficients from the ultraviolet absorbance data, have been described before. The extinction coefficient for

 SO_2 in the chlorinated solvents was determined by comparing the absorbance of a solution of SO_2 in each solvent with that of a solution of SO_2 in heptane of the same concentration; the value ε_{SO_1} in heptane was taken to be 339 l mole⁻¹ cm⁻¹.² The values determined were $\varepsilon_{SO_2}=407$ l mole⁻¹cm⁻¹ at the peak wavelength in dichloromethane (286 m μ) and 365 l mole⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at the peak wavelength in chloroform (289 m μ). Silica cells of length 8 cm were used for the spectral measurements.

 ΔH° for the complex formation reaction in dichloromethane was calculated from the equilibrium constants at 11.0, 14.8, 20.0, and 29.5° by a least squares method. The concentration of SO₂ was kept constant at about 3.0×10^{-5} M, and the concentration of TMA was varied so that between 25 and 75 % of the SO₂ molecules were in the complexed form in each solution. In alcohol-free chloroform the intensity of the CT band decreased slowly with time. Therefore, the values of K_c and ε_c in chloroform were determined at one temperature (20°) using very fresh solutions, and the ΔH° value was inferred from the change in intensity of the CT band which occurred as the temperature was changed rapidly from 20 to 40° for a series of mixtures at different concentrations. In calculating K_c at the higher temperature, it was assumed that ε_c varied with temperature by the same percentage as $\varepsilon_{\rm c}$ in dichloromethane over a comparable temperature interval.

In order to determine the energies and free energies of solution of TMA in the chlorinated solvents, distribution experiments were performed. Aqueous solutions of the amine were shaken with heptane and with dichloromethane, and equilibrated at temperatures ranging from 13 to 27°. The equilibrium concentration of TMA in the aqueous phase was kept nearly constant in these experiments, so that it was possible to determine directly the distribution ratios for the amine between water-saturated heptane and dichloromethane. By utilizing previously reported solvation data for TMA in heptane 2 and values of the distribution ratios, corrected for the hydration of the amine in the two organic solvents, both ΔG° and ΔU° for transfer of TMA from vapour to dilute solution in CH₂Cl₂ could be calculated. ΔG° and ΔU° for transfer of SO₂ from heptane to CH_2Cl_2 were estimated from literature values of Henry's law constants for aqueous solutions of SO₂⁶ and distribution ratios for SO₂ between water and CH₂Cl₂.7 It was assumed that SO₂ is not significantly hydrated in moist CH₂Cl₂. Solvation energies and free energies for TMA in chloroform were calculated from data similar to those obtained for TMA in CH₂Cl₂,

and the necessary solubility data for SO₂ in chloroform were obtained from the literature.⁸

Results and discussion. Table 1 includes equilibrium constants and the thermodynamic functions ΔG° , ΔH° , and ΔS° for the TMA—SO₂ complex formation reac-

Table 1. Thermodynamic and spectral data for the TMA—SO₂ complex in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃.

	CH_2C	Cl ₂ system		
Temp				a .h
ture	· · ·	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{c}}$	$\Delta \bar{\nu}_{1/2}$	
(°C)	$(l \text{ mole}^{-1})$ $(l$	$\mathrm{mole^{-1}cm^{-1}}$) (cm ¹	1)
11.0	135900 + 4000	5350 + 40	5960	0.215
14.8	$92\ 600\pm3800$	5350 ± 60		
20.0	$63\ 500 + 800$	5270 + 20	6180	0.220
29.5	$25\ 250 +\ 490$	5040 + 35	6400	0.218

CHCl₃ system

Summary of thermodynamic results for the reaction TMA+SO₂=TMA·SO₂ at 20°, including data för heptane and gas phase ^c

Medium	⊿H°,	⊿G°,	⊿S°,
	$\mathbf{kcal/mole}$	kcal/mole	e.u.

$$\begin{array}{llll} {\rm CH_2Cl_2} & -15.2 \pm 0.6 & -6.26 \pm 0.04 & -30.0 \pm 2.1 \\ {\rm CHCl_3} & -14.2 \pm 1.2 & -5.92 \pm 0.04 & -27.1 \pm 4.0 \\ {\rm heptane}^d & -11.0 \pm 0.3 & -4.65 \pm 0.03 & -21.4 \pm 0.9 \\ {\rm gas}^d & -9.7 \pm 0.4 & -3.45 \pm 0.05 & -21.0 \pm 1.0 \end{array}$$

tion in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃. The uncertainty in ΔH° in $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ is estimated to be 0.6 kcal/mole from the uncertainties in the formation constants. The corresponding error for the CHCl₃ system is larger, but we feel that an uncertainty of 1.2 kcal/mole is a reasonable estimate. Table 1 also lists values of ε_c at the various temperatures, together with the half band width, $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{1/2}$, deduced from the low frequency side of the band. These parameters correspond closely to values obtained for the heptane system, both with respect to absolute magnitudes and variation with temperature. It should be noted that the decrease in $\varepsilon_{\rm c}$ parallels the increase in $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{1/2}$, so that the oscillator strength remains nearly constant as the temperature changes. The peak wavelength of the CT band, obtained by correcting the observed spectra for the absorbance of uncomplexed donor and acceptor, is 258 m μ in CH₂Cl₂ and 259 m μ in CHCl₃. An explanation for the blue shift of the CT band in polar solvents, relative to heptane (peak wavelength 273 mμ), has been given elsewhere.2

Data from the spectral and distribution studies may be combined to give thermodynamic constants for the cycle (at 20°) given in Table 2.

Numbers in parentheses represent Gibbs free energy values and the remaining numbers are internal energy changes in kcal/mole for the individual steps; the standard state for each species, in either vapour or condensed phase, is taken to be the ideal dilute solution at unit molarity. The effect noted previously — that the solvent stabilizes TMA·SO₂ relative to the unreacted components — is even more pronounced with dichloromethane than with heptane.

The complete cycle has also been obtained for the chloroform system, and analogous thermodynamic results are derived. The solvation energy and free energy for transfer of TMA from vapour to CHCl₃ are -6.6 and -3.76 kcal/mole, respec-

Table 2.

^a Determined from the low frequency side of the *CT* band, assuming Symmetrical band.
^b Oscillator strength, calculated as in Ref. 2.

c Standard states, ideal dilute solution, I mole/liter.

d Ref. 2.

tively. The corresponding values for SO_2 in CHCl₃ are -4.9 and -2.02 kcal/mole. Values of -16.4 and -8.33 kcal/mole are calculated for the energy and free energy of transfer of the complex from vapour to chloroform.

The results we have reported for the TMA-SO₂ systems afford the first example of a CT complex for which the increase in K_c in polar solvents is accompanied by an increase in $-\Delta H^{\circ}$ which definitely lies outside the experimental uncertainty in the enthalpy values. We believe, however, that when more accurate values of ΔH° become available for a number of strong CT complexes, it will be generally observed that $-\Delta H^{\circ}$ and K_c vary in the same direction as the solvent is changed. The resonable explanation for the increased value of the equilibrium constant in polar solvents, in terms of enhanced interaction between the dipole of the complex and the environment, implies that the magnitude of ΔH° for complex formation should also increase. In hydrogen-bonded systems, it has almost invariably been noted that ΔH° and ΔG° vary in the same direction as the medium is varied.9

This work was supported in part by the Norwegian Research Council for Science and the Humanities and the Royal Norwegian Council for Scientific and Industrial Research.

- Christian, S. D. and Grundnes, J. Nature 214 (1967) 1111.
- Grundnes, J. and Christian, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90 (1968) 2239.
- Christian, S. D. and Grundnes, J. Acta Chem. Scand. 22 (1968) 1702.
- Johnson, J. R., Kilpatrick, P. J., Christian,
 D. and Affsprung, H. E. J. Phys. Chem.
 (1968) 3223.
- 5. Tucker, E. E. Private communication.
- Rabe, A. E. and Harris, J. F. J. Chem. Eng. Data 8 (1963) 333.
- Sherwood, T. K. Ind. Eng. Chem. 17 (1925) 745.
- Seidell, A. Solubilities of Inorganic and Metal Organic Compounds, 3rd Ed, van Nostrand, New York 1940, Vol. I, p. 1463.
- Allen, G., Watkinson, J. G. and Webb, K. H. Spectrochim. Acta 22 (1966) 807; see also Ref. 4 and articles cited therein.

Received April 11, 1969.

Ion Pair Extraction in Preparative Organic Chemistry

VI. Alkylation of Acetylacetone ARNE BRÄNDSTRÖM and ULF JUNGGREN

Chemical Research Laboratory, AB Hässle, Fack, S-402 20 Göteborg 5, Sweden

The alkylation of acetylacetone presents 1 an interesting preparative problem. The anion of acetylacetone is a rather weak nucleophile and acetylacetone is alkylated much slower than ethyl acetoacetate and diethyl malonate. In a protic solvent the reaction is usually too slow to give an acceptable reaction time. In an aprotic solvent such as DMSO the rate is acceptable, but the main product is now the O-alkylated product.¹ In some recent publications it has been demonstrated that O-alkylation also occurs to some extent under other conditions.2 The best compromise to date is to use acetone as a solvent and K₂CO₃ as the base, although the reaction time is still very long (20 hours for methylation).3 The time can be considerably decreased using $\mathrm{Rb_2CO_3}$ or $\mathrm{Cs_2CO_3}$ as the base, but these are too expensive for routine use. The tetrabutylammonium ion behaves like a large alkali ion in many reactions. It can be expected therefore, that the tetrabutylammonium salt of acetylacetone is very rapidly alkylated.

We have recently demonstrated that tetrabutylammonium salts are readily prepared by ion pair extraction,⁵ and that the salt of methyl cyanoacetate is rapidly alkylated in a chloroform solution.⁶ We have now applied the same method to acetylacetone. Even in the present case the reaction is very rapid and the alkylations are quantitative in every case presented in Table 1. The percentage of the O-alkylated product is similar to that reported in the reference mentioned above.²

The identity of the alkylated products, with the exception of 3-butylacetylacetone, was ascertained by comparison with authentic samples by means of NMR and VPC. 3-Methyl- and 3-ethylacetylacetone were prepared by acetylation of the corresponding ketone with acetic an-