The Preparation of a-Haloalkyl Esters

ERKKI K. EURANTO, ASKO NOPONEN and TAUNO KUJANPÄÄ

Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

The preparation and physical constants of 18 new or imperfectly known α -haloalkyl esters of aliphatic acids are reported. The compounds include four monochloromethyl esters, seven other α -monochloroalkyl esters, bromomethyl chloroacetate, iodomethyl and α -iodoethyl acetates, three dichloromethyl esters, and trichloromethyl trichloroacetate. The esters were prepared by known methods with improvements especially in the purification stage, including selective hydrolysis of the products. A critical survey of the synthetic methods is given. A few other compounds, including two new methylene bischloropropionates, were obtained as by-products.

The methods for the preparation and purification of α -monohaloalkyl esters have been previously reviewed and discussed by the authors. ^1-3 Also the preparation of several individual esters was described in connection with kinetic studies. ^4-9 The main purpose of this paper is to report preparative methods and physical data for several new or imperfectly characterised α -haloalkyl esters, including di- and trichloromethyl esters, that were needed in reaction kinetic studies. Preparative methods for α -haloalkyl esters are reviewed in general, and improvements in the purification procedures are suggested.

1. The chlorination of alkyl esters can be performed either by chlorine, preferably in ultraviolet light, or by sulphuryl chloride (for references, see Ref. 1). The first method seems to be superior to the latter. Chlorination methods are appropriate only when other methods cannot be used (e.g., for chloromethyl formate and many α -polychloroalkyl esters) or give poor yields (e.g., α -chloroalkyl haloacetates 4,7); the opposite view, however, has also been presented. Chlorination cannot be used in the preparation of aliphatic α -chloroalkyl esters having four or more carbon atoms in the alkyl or acyl component of the ester, 13,14 except when all other hydrogen atoms are or will be substituted by halogens.

The most serious disadvantage of the chlorination method is that in addition to the desired compound also isomeric and polysubstituted esters are formed,¹ and these are often difficult to remove by distillation because of close boiling points (see, e.g., the data for the three chloromethyl trichloroacetates

Acta Chem. Scand. 20 (1966) No. 5

Table 1. Physical constants and analytical data for α -haloalkyl esters.

H. of on	Method of	Boiling	50	7 20	[1]	$[R]_{\mathcal{D}}$	Acid	Halogen	t,
Toolog	(see text)	°C/torr	$u_{\mathbf{D}}$	a.	ops.	calc.37	%	%	Kei.
CH2FCOOCH2C1	-	59-60/25	1.4143	1.4173	22.32	22.15	97.4	100.5	
	-	59.5/21	1.4125	1.4057	22.42		98.4	6.66	
CCI3COOCH2CI	-	01/89	1.4756	1.5988	37.35	37.36	98.7	100.3	
		170							35
	9		1.4690	1.5619	37.77				23
CH3CHCICOOCH2CI	20	46.5/4	1.4459	1.3075	32.01	31.81	98.4	0.86	
CH2CICH2COOCH2CI	ç	77-78	1.4568	1.3379	31.95	31.83	96.3	95.9	
CH3COOCHCICH2CH3	-	49 - 51/20	1.4157	1.0763	31.82	31.62	92.6	95.7	
	ŭ	49.0/20	1.4139	1.0726	31.81		98.7	93.9	
	ī	36 - 37/12	$1.4185 (19^{\circ})$	$1.068 (19^{\circ})$	32.26				18,38
	-	48.6 - 48.8/20	$1.4143(25^{\circ})$						39
CH3COOCHCICH2CH2CH3	īĊ	81/44	1.4199	1.0373	36.73	36.28	96.5	93.2	
	ū	51 - 52/9.5	1.4198	1.039	36.67				40
CH ₃ COOCHCICH(CH ₃) ₂	ĸ	76/44	1.4196	1.0475	36.35	36.29	97.6	98.2	
	ro	78 - 81/50						9.66	41
CH3COOCHCICH2CH2CH3	70	82/20	$1.4210 (25^{\circ})$	$1.0138~(25^{\circ})$	41.18	40.90	97.1	92.0	
CH3COOCHCIC(CH3)3	5	65/18	$1.4192 (25^{\circ})$	$1.0162~(25^{\circ})$	40.93	40.87	97.6	94.1	
CCI3COOCCI(CH3)2	-	73.5/7.5	1.4601	1.4040	46.81	46.61	95.1	94.2	
	-	72/8	$1.4640 (15^{\circ})$	$1.4183(15^{\circ})$	46.68			100.8	42
CH2CICOOCHCICH2CI	81	71.5 - 72/4.5	1.4784	1.4717	36.85	36.64	98.6	98.7	
$CH_2CICOOCH_2Br$	īO	57.2/3.5	1.4873	1.7833	30.24	30.08	99.5	97.9	
CH_3COOCH_2I	ŭ	49 - 50/14	1.5130	1.9531	30.77	30.45	97.7	95.6	
	īĊ	65/14		$1.902 (22^{\circ})$				99.7	44
CH3COOCHICH3	ŭ	28/3 - 4	1.49	1.7136	36.1	35.08	99.3	97.8	
HCOOCHC12	∞	55/105				22.88			
CH2CICOOCHCI2	œ	52/3	1.4667	1.5183	32.40	32.34	96.5	94.7	
	œ	9/69							27
CCI,COOCHCI,	-	82/20	1.4773	1.6433	42.37	42.52	100.0	100.6	
CCI3COOCCI3	-	93/22	$1.4779 (35^{\circ})$	$1.6756 (35^{\circ})$	47.42	47.54	100.5	99.7	
	-	73 - 74/10		$1.6733 (35^{\circ})$					48
		191 - 192/753							48

in Table 1). When chloromethyl esters were prepared, the difficulty was avoided by the following procedure. When a monochloromethyl ester is desired. the chlorination is discontinued at an early stage when only slight polychlorination has occurred. The product can then be isolated by distillation from the unreacted and acyl-substituted esters because of the relatively large boiling point differences. In some cases, however, significant formation of the dichloromethyl ester cannot be avoided. The latter ester is then removed by the selective hydrolysis method described below. When a dichloromethyl ester is the desired product, the chlorination is continued until the monosubstituted product has reacted. After the acvl-substituted esters and other removable impurities are distilled off, the ester with an excess of alkyl chlorine atoms is hydrolysed by a calculated amount of water dissolved in acetone. This method is based on the fact, found in kinetic measurements, that every additional chlorine atom in the methyl group of the alkyl component increases the rate of the neutral hydrolysis of the ester by a factor varying from about eleven to several hundreds, so that only a small calculable amount of the desired ester is destroyed. By this method, dichloromethyl trichloroacetate was obtained in high purity (Table 1).

2. The addition of halogen to the double bond of α -alkenyl esters ¹⁶ is evidently the best method for the preparation of primary α,β -dihaloalkyl esters, because no impurities are formed that are difficult to remove. The reaction cannot be used to prepare secondary α,β -dihaloalkyl esters because the formed

esters decompose immediately to acvl halides and a-haloketones.

3. The addition of hydrogen halide to α -alkenyl esters ¹⁷ or to allylidene diacetate ¹⁸ leads to α -haloalkyl esters or α, γ -dihalopropyl acetate, respectively. The value of these preparative reactions is limited, because the esters in question can usually be prepared more conveniently by other methods.

4. The addition of tetrahalomethanes to vinyl acetate in the presence of acetyl

peroxide gives $\alpha, \gamma, \gamma, \gamma$ -tetrahalopropyl acetates.¹⁹

5. The reaction between an acyl halide and an aldehyde (for references, see Ref. 1) or a ketone ^{2,3} is without doubt the most useful method for the preparation of α-monohaloalkyl esters of most acids (see, however, section 1). The reaction of an acyl halide with higher aldehydes, including propionaldehyde, 18 and the reaction of acetyl iodide with aldehydes (see Experimental Part) take place easily without catalyst, but in the case of reactions between other acyl halides and aldehydes it is advantageous to use anhydrous zinc chloride as catalyst,20 whereas the reactions between acyl halides and most ketones require the use of anhydrous aluminium chloride or boron trifluoride as catalyst. 3,21 It is advisable to avoid unnecessary heating during the reaction and distillation while the catalyst is still present, because it may lead to undesired side reactions and decomposition of the ester. Impurities like α,α'-dihaloalkyl ethers and alkylidene diesters 22 can, however, be removed by careful distillation in most cases.1,12 The alkylidene diester may be the main product of the reaction between an acyl halide with electronegative substituents and an aldehyde (see section 1 and Experimental Part), whereas no reaction was found to take place between acetyl chloride and ketones with bulky groups even in the presence of anhydrous aluminium chloride (see Experimental Part).

- 6. The reactions of carboxylic acids with parajormaldehyde and gaseous hydrogen chloride in the presence of anhydrous zinc chloride at -15 to 0°C has been stated by Pishnamazzade et al.²³ to lead to chloromethyl esters. However, repeated attempts to prepare chloromethyl caproate and trichloroacetate by this method did not give isolatable amounts of the esters. On the other hand, the physical data given by Pishnamazzade et al.²³ differ significantly from those found for the compounds in question that have been prepared by other methods.* This method seems therefore to be less advantageous.
- 7. Acyl halides are reported to produce α-haloalkyl esters also when they react with 1,3-dioxanes containing an alkyl group vicinal to one of the ring oxygen atoms,²⁴ with 2,3-dichlorotetrahydrofuran,²⁵ and with epoxides.²⁶
- 8. The reaction of phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury with carboxylic acids in benzene solution at $60-80^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ gives dichloromethyl esters 27 and seems to be the most general preparative method for these esters and is of especial value when direct chlorination cannot be used. It was found that better yields of phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury were obtained from phenylmercuric bromide or chloride, bromodichloromethane, and potassium tert-butoxide when diethyl ether was used as solvent at about $-20^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ instead of benzene at $0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ as proposed originally.²⁸
- 9. Electrolysis of carboxylic acids and their alkali metal salts with one, two, or three halogen atoms in the α -position gives α -haloalkyl esters of α -haloacids. ^{29,30} This seems to be the most convenient method for the preparation of several esters with two or three halogen atoms in the α -positions in the acyl and alkyl components. ^{30,31}
- 10. The reaction between mercuric difluoroacetate and iodine or difluoroiodomethane has been reported to give difluoromethyl difluoroacetate. ³² Difluoromethyl trifluoroacetate has been obtained as a decomposition product of perfluoro-3,5-dimethyl-1,2-dioxolane, probably by a reaction of trifluoroacetic acid with difluoromethylene ³³ (cf. method 8), which reaction has been found to take place. ³⁴

EXPERIMENTAL

The starting materials and the preparative method for each ester are given in the following. The procedures employed have been described in greater detail earlier.¹⁻⁹ The chlorinations were performed with gaseous chlorine in ultraviolet light employing a Hanovia 1 l Photochemical Reactor.

The physical constants of the esters are given together with earlier data, when available, in Table 1. The infrared spectra of the chloroderivatives of methyl acetate have been reported and discussed by Laato. The esters were analysed by hydrolysing weighed amounts in water, or in a known excess of dilute sodium hydroxide solution, if the neutral solvolysis was too slow. The solutions were titrated with standard sodium hydroxide or hydrochloric acid and silver nitrate solutions. The results are given in Table 1 in the columns headed "Acid %" and "Halogen %" as percentages of the theoretical amounts taking into account that one mole of an α -monohaloalkyl ester gives on hydrolysis two moles of acid and one mole of halide ion, whereas the corresponding numbers are 3 and 2 for dichloromethyl esters and 4 and 3 for trichloromethyl esters.

^{*} Pishnamazzade et al. ²³ do not give boiling points. For the refractive index and density of chloromethyl propionate they give the values $n_{\rm D}^{20}=1.4295$ and $d_4^{20}=1.1824$, whereas we have found ¹ the values 1.4150 and 1.1364, respectively; for data for chloromethyl trichloroacetate, see Table 1.

Paraformaldehyde (E. Merck AG) and anhydrous zinc chloride (May & Baker Ltd.) were used as received. Methanol (E. Merck AG, Guaranteed Reagent) was dried with magnesium methoxide. Acetyl chloride (May & Baker Ltd., or E. Merck AG) was distilled before use. Fluoroacetyl chloride (b.p. 72°C) was prepared by dropping melted fluoroacetic acid (Fluka AG, purum) slowly onto phosphorus pentachloride (May & Baker Ltd.). Chloroacetyl bromide (b. p. 127°C) was prepared from bromine (E. Merck AG), red phosphorus (British Drug Houses Ltd.), and chloroacetic acid (E. Merck AG). Acetyl iodide was prepared from iodine (E. Merck AG, resublimed), acetic anhydride (E. Merck AG, pure), and red phosphorus (British Drug Houses Ltd.). All of the acyl halides were distilled twice before use in the syntheses.

Methyl fluoroacetate (b.p. 104° C) was prepared from methanol and fluoroacetyl chloride, methyl trichloroacetate ($n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1.4570, d_4^{20} 1.4855) from trichloroacetic acid (E. Merck AG, Guaranteed Reagent) and methanol, and propyl acetate (b.p. $102^{\circ}\text{C}/766$ torr, n_{D}^{20} 1.3838) from acetic acid (E. Merck AG) and propyl alcohol (E. Merck AG) in the presence of concentrated sulphuric acid. Vinyl chloroacetate (b.p. $37-38^{\circ}\text{C}/16$ torr, n_{D}^{25} 1.4422) was prepared from chloroacetic acid and acetylene with yellow mercuric oxide as catalyst.

Valeraldehyde (b.p. 104°C/755 torr, m.p. of the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone 99°C) was prepared by oxidation of pentyl alcohol (British Drug Houses Ltd.) with chromic acid (from sodium dichromate and sulphuric acid). The yield was low. The distillation residue contained appreciable amounts of pentyl valerate (b.p. 200-205°C/757 torr). Pivalaldehyde (2,2-dimethylpropanal, b.p. 74° C/755 torr -77° C/761 torr, $n_{\rm D}^{25}$ 1.3792) was prepared by the following reaction sequence: tert-Butyl chloride (b.p. 50.3°C/755 torr), prepared from tert-butyl alcohol (British Drug Houses Ltd.) and concentrated hydrochloric acid, was transformed into neopentyl alcohol (b.p. 110-112°C/751 torr) by a Grignard reaction. The alcohol was oxidised to pivalaldehyde with chromic acid or with tert-butyl chromate. With the first oxidant, neopentyl pivalate (b.p. 164°C/750 torr) was obtained as by-product.

Phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury 28 was prepared from phenylmercuric chloride (British Drug Houses Ltd.) or bromide (recovered from the reaction mixtures after the preparation and isolation of dichloromethyl esters), bromodichloromethane (prepared from chloroform and ethyl bromide with aluminium chloride as catalyst), and potassium tert-butoxide (from potassium metal (Coleman & Bell Co.) and tert-butyl alcohol) in

diethyl ether solution at about -20°C.

Chloromethyl fluoroacetate was prepared by chlorinating methyl fluoroacetate (method 1). It was not obtained from fluoroacetyl chloride and paraformaldehyde (method 5).

Chloromethyl trichloroacetate 35,36,23 was prepared by chlorinating methyl trichloroacetate (method 1). The distilled ester was found to contain a significant amount of dichloromethyl trichloroacetate, 36 which was removed by hydrolysis with a calculated volume of 3 % water-acetone and redistillation. An attempt was made to synthesize the ester also from trichloroacetic acid, paraformaldehyde, and hydrogen chloride gas in the presence of zinc chloride (method 6).23 A liquid reaction product was obtained, but no chloromethyl trichloroacetate could be isolated.

Chloromethyl α-chloropropionate was prepared from α-chloropropionyl chloride (Eastman Organic Chemicals) and paraformaldehyde in the presence of zinc chloride (method 5). The mixed reagents were heated at 60°C for 18 h. Yield 25 %. A higher boiling fraction (50 %) was found to be methylene bis- α -chloropropionate (b.p. 158°C/11 torr, $n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1.4559, d_4^{20} 1.3111, $[R]_{\rm D}$ 47.48, calc.³⁷ 47.28). Analytical data: 0.6086 mmole of the latter ester gave on hydrolysis 1.102 mmoles of acids (90.5 %) and 0.0074 mmole

of chloride.

Chloromethyl β -chloropropionate was prepared from β -chloropropionyl chloride (Eastman Organic Chemicals) and paraformaldehyde in the presence of zinc chloride (method 5) at room temperature. Yield 29 %. A higher-boiling fraction (22 %) was found to be methylene bis- β -chloropropionate (b.p. 160° C/7 torr, $n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1.4647, $d_{\rm A}^{20}$ 1.3337, $[R]_{\rm D}$ 47.45, calc ³⁷ 47.32). Analytical data: 0.3846 mmole of the latter ester gave on total hydrolysis (by refluxing 80 min with about 2 mmoles of sodium hydroxide in water) 1.542 mmoles of acids (100.2 %) and 0.7652 mmole of chloride (99.5 %).

α-Chloropropyl acetate ^{18,38,39} was prepared by adding propionaldehyde (Judex Chemi-

cals) slowly to cooled acetyl chloride to which zinc chloride had been added (method 5). The ester was also obtained by chlorinating cold propyl acetate with chlorine gas in ultraviolet light (method 1). The monochloropropyl acetates (42 %) were fractionated by careful distillation with the following yields: 18 g of α -chloropropyl acetate (Table 1), 36 g of β -chloropropyl acetate (b.p. 153°C/771 torr, n_D^{20} 1.4206), and 33 g of γ -chloropropyl acetate (b.p. 168°C/771 torr, n_D^{20} 1.4288). The isomer distribution (21:41:38) is similar to that obtained by Brown and Ash ³⁹ after photochlorination in carbon tetrachloride solution at 80-85°Č (23:42:35) and after chlorination with sulphuryl chloride (25:46:29).

α-Chlorobutyl acetate 40 was prepared from acetyl chloride and freshly distilled butyraldehyde (L. Light & Co., Ltd., b.p. 75°C/763 torr) in the presence of zinc chloride (method 5).

α-Chloroisobutyl acetate 41 was prepared from acetyl chloride and isobutyraldehyde (L. Light & Co., Ltd.) in the presence of zinc chloride (method 5). Yield ca. 35 %.

a-Chloropentyl acetate was prepared from acetyl chloride and valeraldehyde without catalyst at room temperature (method 5). The ester was not obtained in a high degree of purity because only a couple of grams of the aldehyde was available.

α-Chloroneopentyl acetate was prepared from acetyl chloride and pivalaldehyde without catalyst (method 5). The reaction mixture was cooled at the beginning of the reaction and warmed slightly before distillation.

a-Chloroisopropyl trichloroacetate 42 was prepared by chlorinating isopropyl acetate 43 (method 1). Yield 21 %.

Attempts to prepare the acetates of 2-chloro-3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol and 3-chloro-2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-pentanol from acetyl chloride and the corresponding ketones with aluminium chloride as catalyst (method 5) 3 failed because no reaction took place, possibly because of steric hindrance. An attempt was also made to prepare the first-mentioned ester from the acetate of 3,3-dimethyl-1-buten-2-ol and hydrogen chloride (method 3), but the ester, probably formed as an intermediate, decomposed into acetyl chloride and pinacolone (methyl tert-butyl ketone); cf. Ref. 2.

α,β-Dichloroethyl chloroacetate ¹⁶ was prepared by adding chlorine to vinyl chloroacetate in the dark at 0°C (method 2). Yield 45 %.

Bromomethyl chloroacetate was prepared from chloroacetyl bromide and paraformal-

dehyde with zinc chloride as catalyst (method 5). Yield 10 %.

Iodomethyl acetate 44 was prepared from acetyl iodide and paraformaldehyde without catalyst (method 5). Yield 68 %. The product gradually became dark in colour, more rapidly on exposure to air than in closed vessels.

a-Iodoethyl acetate was prepared from acetyl iodide and paraldehyde (Lachema) (method 5). The reaction was vigorous; paraldehyde was therefore added slowly. Yield 43 %. The product could not be obtained wholly colourless, and it darkened in air so rapidly that an accurate determination of the refractive index was not possible.

Dichloromethyl formate was prepared from phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury and formic acid (E. Merck AG, Guaranteed Reagent) (method 8). The ester contained variable amounts of benzene as found by gas-liquid chromatography and from infrared spectra; no analytical data are therefore given. The ester was found to be unstable as has been observed earlier by Grignard et al. 45 who found that dichloromethyl formate is completely transformed during chlorination into the chloroformate derivatives, and by Delépine, 46 who assumed that the rapid decomposition of some chlorination products of methyl formate was caused by the hydrolysis of dichloromethyl formate catalysed by traces of water through the reactions

$$\begin{array}{ll} HCOOCHCl_2 + H_2O = HCOOCOH + 2HCl \\ HCOOCOH = 2CO + H_2O \end{array}$$

The proposed decomposition of dichloromethyl formate via formic anhydride was investigated by adding a sample of the ester with a small amount of water (ca. 1 mole %) to a vessel equipped with a manometer. The ester was, indeed, found to decompose during the course of several months at an approximately constant rate. When about 0.1 mmole of water was added to 10 mmoles of the ester, the rate of decomposition at room temperature was about 0.05 mmole per day. On the other hand, the ester hydrolysed in homogeneous aqueous solutions or heterogeneous mixtures containing water like other dichloromethyl esters 47 according to the equation

$$HCOOCHCl_2 + H_2O = HCOOH + CO + 2HCl$$

as indicated by the ratio 3:2 of the total equivalents of formed acids to those of the chloride ion and by the evolution of carbon monoxide.

Dichloromethyl chloroacetate ²⁷ was prepared from phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury and chloroacetic acid (method 8). Yield 86 %.

Dichloromethyl trichloroacetate was prepared by chlorinating methyl trichloroacetate (method 1). After careful distillation, the purest fractions were treated with the calculated amount of water in acetone for the hydrolysis of the trichloromethyl ester which had not been removed by distillation. After redistillation with a high refluxing ratio, pure dichloromethyl trichloroacetate was obtained. Anschütz and Emery ⁴⁸ and Iliceto ³⁶ mention the occurrence of the ester among the chlorination products of methyl trichloro-

acetate, but it does not seem to have been prepared in the pure state.

Trichloromethyl trichloroacetate 48,29 was prepared by chlorinating cold methyl trichloroacetate (method 1). After careful distillation, the ester was crystallised three times

from hexane; m.p. 33.5°C (Anschütz and Emery 48 give the value 34°C).

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank the National Research Council for Sciences (Valtion Luonnontieteellinen Toimikunta) for financial aid and Mr. Nils J. Cleve, Ph. M., for help with the analytical work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Euranto, E. Ann. Univ. Turku., Ser. A I 1959 No. 31, pp. 11-17.
- Euranto, E. and Kujanpää, T. Acta Chem. Scand. 15 (1961) 1209.
 Euranto, E. K. and Leppänen, O. Acta Chem. Scand. 17 (1963) 2765.
- 4. Euranto, E. Suomen Kemistilehti B 33 (1960) 41.
- 5. Euranto, E. Ann. Univ. Turku., Ser. A I 1960 No. 42.
- 6. Euranto, E. Suomen Kemistilehti B 35 (1962) 18.
- 7. Euranto, E. K. and Euranto, R. A. Suomen Kemistilehti B 35 (1962) 96. 8. Cleve, N. J. and Euranto, E. K. Suomen Kemistilehti B 37 (1964) 126. 9. Euranto, E. K. and Yrjänä, T. Suomen Kemistilehti B 38 (1965) 215.
- 10. Laato, H. and Himanka, E. Suomen Kemistilehti B 37 (1964) 85.
- 11. Shlichta, P., Inman, J. K. and Lucas, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77 (1955) 3784.
- 12. Bavin, P. M. G. Can. J. Chem. 42 (1964) 704.
- Bruylants, A., Tits, M. and Dauby, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges 58 (1949) 310; Bruylants A., Tits, M., Dieu, C. and Gauthier, R. Ibid. 61 (1952) 366.
- 14. Singh, H. and Tedder, J. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1964 4737.
- 15. Brace, N. O. and McCormack, W. B. J. Org. Chem. 26 (1961) 5091.
- 16. British Patent 325,115 (1929); Chem. Abstr. 24 (1930) 3800.

- German Patent 313,696 (1919); Chem. Zentr. 90 (1919) IV 664.
 Kirrmann, A. Bull. Soc. Chim. France [5] 4 (1937) 502.
 Kharasch, M. S., Reinmuth, O. and Urry, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 1105.
- 20. Descudé, M. Compt. Rend. 132 (1901) 1567.
- 21. Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd. British Patent 837,486 (1960).
- Descudé, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. France [3] 27 (1902) 867.
 Pishnamazzade, B. F. and Gulieva, Sh. D. Dokl. Akad. Nauk Azerb. SSR 12 (1956) 895; Pishnamazzade, B. F., Gasanova, Sh. D. and Kerimova, R. M. Ibid. 19 (1963) No.11, 23.
- 24. Arundale, E. U. S. Patent 2,607,800 (1952); Chem. Abstr. 48 (1954) 7052b.

- Reppe, W. et al. Ann. 596 (1955) 1, pp. 89 and 91.
 German Patent 1,081,450 (1960); Chem. Abstr. 55 (1961) 14479e.
 Seyferth, D., Mui. J. Y.-P. and Todd, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86 (1964) 2961; Seyferth, D., Burlitch, J. M., Minasz. R. J., Mui, J. Y.-P., Simmons, H. D., Jr., Treiber, A. J. H. and Dowd, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87 (1965) 4259.
- 28. Reutov, O. A. and Lovtsova, A. N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 139 (1961) 622; Seyferth, D. and Burlitch, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84 (1962) 1757; J. Organometal. Chem. 4 (1965) 127.
- 29. Elbs, K. and Kratz, K. J. prakt. Chem. [2] 55 (1897) 502.
- 30. Kaufler, F. and Herzog, C. Ber. 42 (1909) 3858.

- 31. Laato, H. Ann. Univ. Turku., Ser. A I (1965) Nos. 82 and 86.
- 32. Yarovenko, N. N., Raksha, M. A., Shemanina, V. N. and Vasil'eva, A. S. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 27 (1957) 2246.
- Talbott, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 30 (1965) 1429.
 Mitsch, R. A. Unpublished work.³³
- 35. Descudé, M. Compt. Rend. 136 (1903) 1565.
- 36. Iliceto, A. Ann. Chim. (Rome) 43 (1953) 638.
- 37. Vogel, A. I. J. Chem. Soc. 1948 1833.
- 38. Chancel, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. France [5] 18 (1951) 228.
- 39. Brown, H. C. and Ash, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77 (1955) 4019. 40. Späth, E. and Schmid, H. Ber. B 73 (1940) 243.
- 41. Ulich, L. H. and Adams, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 43 (1921) 660.
- 42. Gayler, C. W. and Waddle, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63 (1941) 3358.
- 43. Euranto, E. K. and Moisio, A.-L. Suomen Kemistilehti B 37 (1964) 92.
- Renshaw, R. R. and Ware, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 47 (1925) 2989.
 Grignard, V., Rivat, G. and Urbain, E. Compt. Rend. 169 (1919) 1074; Ann. Chim. (Paris) 9 (1920) 229.
- 46. Delépine, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. France [4] 27 (1920) 39.
- 47. Laato, H. Suomen Kemistilehti B 37 (1964) 11.
- 48. Anschütz, R. and Emery, W. O. Ann. 273 (1893) 56.

Received February 7, 1966.