# A Study of Ammonium Permolybdates

ARNE HANSSON and INGVAR LINDOVIST

Institute of Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden

During the investigation of the structure of polymolybdates, which is now being carried out at this institute, it seemed possible to obtain further information on the paramolybdates by studying the perparamolybdates. A study of the ammonium perparamolybdates was therefore begun.

Werther <sup>1</sup> in 1861 noted the yellow colour obtained by the action of  $\rm H_2O_2$  on acid solutions of ammonium molybdate. The crystals of ammonium perparamolybdate were then investigated by Baerwald <sup>2</sup> in 1885. He dissolved ammonium paramolybdate in an excess of  $\rm H_2O_2$  and let the solution evaporate isothermally. From his primitive analyses he arrived at the formula  $14\rm NH_3 \cdot 18\rm MoO_3 \cdot 3H_2O_2 \cdot 18H_2O$ . The large lemon-yellow crystals were monoclinic with a:b:c=1.4727:1:1.0268 and  $\beta=74^\circ$  32′ (180°— $\beta=105^\circ$  28′). Péchard <sup>3</sup> used the same method at 100° C and undoubtedly obtained the same crystals, because Dufet <sup>4</sup> who measured them crystallographically found a:b:c=1.4682:1:1.0259 and  $\beta=105^\circ$  41′ 40″. Péchard, however, described this compound as  $(\rm NH_4)_2O\cdot Mo_2O_7\cdot 4H_2O$ .

Moeller <sup>5</sup> claimed to have obtained a water-free compound  $(NH_4)_2Mo_2O_8$  by the action of  $H_2O_2$  on ammonium molybdate. His crystals were measured by Fock<sup>6</sup> who found a:b:c=0.4693:1:0.2956 and  $\beta=112^{\circ}$  39′ 30″, so this compound was obviously not identical with that described by Baerwald and Péchard. The only analytical value, given by Moeller, is 80.89 % MoO<sub>3</sub>, which is possibly in agreement with 81.52 % calculated for ammonium paramolybdate,  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$ . Since the crystals are bright yellow and described as easily cleaved along (010), we tried transforming the axial system given by Groth <sup>13</sup> for  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  in order to show an eventual identity with Moeller's crystals. It was possible to get a:b:c=0.460:1:0.294 and  $\beta=114.0^{\circ}$  in clear agreement with Fock's values. As is stated below Moeller obviously obtained a solid solution of small amounts of peroxide oxygen in  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$ . (It is, however, interesting to note that the

habit of the crystals obtained by crystallization from an  $H_2O_2$  solution is different from that obtained from an  $H_2O_2$  free solution.)

The most critical analytical work in this field is surely that by Muthmann and Nagel <sup>7</sup>, which appeared in 1898. They found two different salts. From concentrated  $\rm H_2O_2$  solutions, saturated with  $\rm (NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  they obtained at first orange coloured crystals and then from the mother liquor lemon yellow crystals of a quite different habit. The two compounds were given the formulae  $\rm 3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_4 \cdot 12H_2O$  and  $\rm 3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 5MoO_3 \cdot 2MoO_4 \cdot 6H_2O$  respectively. The latter is certainly the salt formerly described by Baerwald and Péchard.

A very confusing contribution to the knowledge of the ammonium perparamolybdates was published in 1931 by Cagliotti <sup>8</sup>. He gives analytical results without stating the analytical methods used and X-ray crystallographic data without any statement about the sort of radiation. He claims to have prepared the following three compounds:

$$5(NH_4)_2O \cdot 12MoO_4 \cdot 18H_2O$$
,  $5(NH_4)_2O \cdot 9MoO_3 \cdot 3MoO_4 \cdot 10H_2O$  and  $5(NH_4)_2O \cdot 6MoO_4 \cdot 13H_2O$ .

(The latter was obtained from solutions of normal ammonium molybdate in  $30\% H_2O_2$ .) In varying the ratio  $MoO_3:O_{active}$  in the solutions of paramolybdate in  $H_2O_2$  between 1 and 6 he obtained crystals with active oxygen contents from 1.38% to 1.90%. He also studied the crystallization from the solution with the ratio  $MoO_3:O_{active}=1$  at different temperatures. The results are here given in Table 1. The colour of these crystals changes from intense yellow to very bright yellow. In his X-ray investigation, Cagliotti studied ammonium perparamolybdates with oxygen contents of 0.99, 1.42 and 2.26% and found great similarities between the powder photographs of paramolybdate and perparamolybdates.

Finally Rosenheim, Hakki and Krause <sup>9</sup> have accepted the two formulae  $5(NH_4)_2O \cdot 12MoO_3 \cdot 12O \cdot 21H_2O$  and  $5(NH_4)_2O \cdot 12MoO_3 \cdot 3$  O ·  $12H_2O$  with-

Mahla 1 (Flan Carlintis 8)

	Te	noie 1. (From	Cagirotti °).		
	<i>t</i> °C	$(\mathrm{NH_4})_2\mathrm{O}$	$\mathbf{MoO_3}$	O	$\mathbf{H_2O}$
1	30	11.58	<b>77.4</b> 7	1.97	8.98
2	40	12.00	77.49	1.80	8.71
3	50	12.00	77.45	1.84	8.71
4	60	11.98	77.60	1.75	8.67
5	70	11.62	77.38	1.94	9.06
6	80	11.21	78.44	0.99	8.36
7	90	11.30	81.02	0.36	6.32
8	96	11.42	80.82	0.30	6.46

out giving any analytical methods. In order to get better results, however, they prepared a guanidinium perparamolybdate for which they determined the following composition:

$(CN_3H_6)_2O$	26.01;	26.35 %	6
$MoO_3$	65.20;	64.90;	65.02 %
0	1.64;	1.95:	1.51 %

These values may give weight ratios  $MoO_3/(CN_3H_6)_2O$  between 65.20/26.01 = 2.51 and 64.90/26.35 = 2.46. The calculated values are for  $12MoO_3/5$   $(CN_3H_6)_2O = 2.54$  and for  $7MoO_3/3(CN_3H_6)_2O = 2.47$ . But Rosenheim, Hakki and Krause concluded that they had obtained the compound  $5(CN_3H_6)_2O \cdot 12MoO_3 \cdot 3O \cdot 11H_2O!$ 

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

We have investigated crystals obtained by isothermal evaporation from solutions of ammonium paramolybdate,  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O^*$ , in  $H_2O_2$ .

## Analytical methods and checks

 $NH_3$ : Kjeldahl distillation and titration to colourless solution with bromcresol green + methyl red as indicator. In a solution containing  $MoO_3$ ,  $H_2O_2$  and a known amount of  $NH_3(MoO_3: H_2O_2: NH_3$  approximately as in the solutions analyzed during the investigation), we determined  $NH_3$ . Weighed amount: 0.04669 g. Observed amount: 0.04665 g.

MoO<sub>3</sub>: Heating to constant weight in a furnace at 450° C. After one hour the constancy is good. The residue (MoO<sub>3</sub>) always has a pale grey-green colour. The check was carried out as under NH<sub>3</sub> with a known amount of MoO<sub>3</sub>. Weighed amount: 0.6166 g. Observed amount: 0.6158 g.

Active oxygen: Titration in acid solution with  $0.1~N~KMnO_4$ . Ferroine sulphate was used as indicator. To avoid catalytical decomposition of  $H_2O_2$  we added  $MnSO_4$  to the solution before the titration. The check was carried out as under  $NH_3$  with a known amount of  $H_2O_2$ . Weighed amount: 0.00440~g. Observed amount: 0.00438~g.

#### RESULTS

1. 
$$3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_3 \cdot xO \cdot 4H_2O \ (x<0.3)$$

From dilute solutions we obtained paramolybdate crystals with up to 0.2 weight % O without any visible change in the powder photographs. It is

<sup>\*</sup> By analyzing a commercial preparation labelled Ammonium molybdate,  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  (Kemikaliebolaget Kebo, Stockholm), this was found to have the formula of the dimolybdate  $(NH_4)_2Mo_2O_7$ , the existence of which has been doubted (cf. Gmelin <sup>12</sup>). By recristallization we obtained, however, the paramolybdate. The dimolybdate will be further investigated.

very strange, however, that even at these low oxygen contents the crystals may be lemon yellow. During his study of the crystallization at different temperatures, given in Table 1, Cagliotti surely has obtained this phase (nos. 7 and 8), quite as Moeller, as already has been pointed out in the historical review. We have not tried to determine the exact limit of the solid solution.

2. 
$$3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_3 \cdot (2-x)O \cdot 6H_2O \cdot (x < 0.5)$$

This compound was obtained by evaporation of solutions containing  $H_2O_2$  in a mole ratio  $H_2O_2$ : Mo larger than 0.75 and is surely identical with the compound having the least oxygen, obtained by Baerwald, Péchard, Muthmann and Nagel, Cagliotti and Rosenheim *et. al.* The crystals are lemon yellow and crystallize both in needles and plates with a tendency for twinning.

Analyses:	Found		Calc. (for the comp. $x = 0$ )
$(NH_4)_2O$	11.98 %	12.11 %	11.98 %
$MoO_3$	77.30 »	77.80 »	77.27 »
· <b>O</b>	2.11 »	1.88 »	2.45 »
H <sub>2</sub> O (by difference)	8.61 »	8.21 »	8.30 »
$(NH_4)_2O:MoO_3$	3.00:7	3.01:7	3:7

The mole ratio  $(NH_4)_2O/MoO_3$  is constant = 3.0:7, but the oxygen content varies (cf. Muthmann and Nagel, Cagliotti). It is not easy to decide definitely if the compound loses part of its oxygen due to instability in the air, or if we have a solid solution range. Nor have we tried to determine any limits for the oxygen content exactly. We prefer to give the formula  $3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_3 \cdot (2-x)O \cdot 6H_2O$  (x < 0.5). A crystal structure determination will possibly yield a definite answer to this question.

A single crystal has been investigated. Weissenberg photographs (CuKradiation) around [100] were taken. The absence of  $(h \ \theta \ l)$  with l odd and of  $(\theta \ k \ \theta)$  with k odd indicate the spacegroup to be  $C_{2h}^5 - P_{2_1}/c$ . The monoclinic cell dimensions are a = 10.7 Å, b = 10.2 Å, c = 30.0 Å and  $\beta = 106^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$  which give a:b:c=1.05:1:2.94. (We have interchanged the a and c axes in order to conform to the space group notation  $P_{2_1}/c$ .) The value 1.05 for a:b instead of 1.03 as found by Baerwald <sup>2</sup> may be attributed partly to difficulties in the crystallographic measurements, partly to inaccuracy in the determination of a solely from rotation photographs. The density has been determined by the swimming method to 2.71 (Baerwald gives without description of his method the value 2.975). These data give 3.94 units of  $3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_3 \cdot 2O \cdot 6H_2O$  and 2.3 units of  $5(NH_4)_2O \cdot 12MoO_3 \cdot 3O \cdot 12H_2O$  in the

Table 2. Powder photographs taken with monochromatized CuKa-radiation.

 $\frac{3({\rm NH_4})_2{\rm O}\cdot7{\rm MoO_3}\cdot x{\rm O}\cdot4{\rm H_2O};\ \ 3({\rm NH_4})_2{\rm O}\cdot7{\rm MoO_3}(2-x){\rm O}\cdot6{\rm H_2O};\ \ 2({\rm NH_4})_2{\rm O}\cdot5{\rm MoO_3}\cdot(5-x){\rm O}\cdot9{\rm H_2O}\cdot(5-x){\rm O}\cdot9{\rm O$ 

					-
I	$\sin^2\!\Theta$	I	$\sin^2\Theta$	I	$\sin^2\!\Theta$
v st	0.0071	v st	0.0063	v w	0.0036
nı	0.0084	v st	0.0083	w	0.0044
$\operatorname{st}$	0.0104	$\operatorname{\mathbf{st}}$	0.0106	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0059
st	0.0110	$\operatorname{st}$	0.0110	v st	0.0068
v st	0.0117	$\operatorname{\mathbf{st}}$	0.0113	$\mathbf{v}$ st	0.0074
m	0.0139	$\mathbf{st}$	0.0120	$\mathbf{m}$	0.0085
$\mathbf{st}$	0.0145	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0126	$\mathbf{m}$	0.0089
m	0.0171	w	0.0130	$\mathbf{st}$	0.0111
m	0.0176	st	0.0144	$\mathbf{st}$	0.0130
m	0.0211	st	0.0168	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0134
w	0.0217	st	0.0208	m	0.0142
m	0.0231	m	0.0228	v w	0.0149
$\mathbf{w}$	0.0244	$\mathbf{v}^{-}\mathbf{w}^{-}$	0.0244	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0171
$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0250	m	0.0253	w	0.0193
v w	0.0269	m	0.0266	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0206
$\mathbf{m}$	0.0284	$\operatorname{\mathbf{st}}$	0.0277	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0250
$\mathbf{st}$	0.0297	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0281	w	0.0268
$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0308	w	0.0288	w	0.0279
v w	0.0317	$\mathbf{st}$	0.0297	m	0.0302
m	0.0341	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0329	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0361
m	0.0358	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0353	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0398
m	0.0383	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0371	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0425
m	0.0398	m	0.0405	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0449
$\operatorname{st}$	0.0409	$\mathbf{m}$	0.0423	$\mathbf{st}$	0.0469
st	0.0434	$\mathbf{m}$	0.0438	w	0.0501
$\mathbf{st}$	0.0460	$\mathbf{v}$ st	0.0451	$\mathbf{m}$	0.0526
$\mathbf{w}$	0.0467	v st	0.0478	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0543
$\mathbf{v}$ st	0.0493	m	0.0532	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0576
$\mathbf{st}$	0.0519	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0551	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0591
$\mathbf{st}$	0.0531	$\mathbf{v}   \mathbf{st}$	0.0562	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0605
m	0.0558	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0568	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0653
$\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w}$	0.0580	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0583	v w	0.0690
$\mathbf{st}$	0.0593	$\mathbf{st}$	0.0603	$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0712
$\mathbf{v}   \mathbf{st}$	0.0625	$\mathbf{m}$	0.0619	v w	0.0741
$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$	0.0640	m	0.0632	m	0.0789
$\mathbf{m}$	0.0655	v w	0.0643	$\mathbf{w}$	0.0833

cell. We have thus obtained a final confirmation of the formula  $3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_3 \cdot (2-x)O \cdot 6H_2O$  quite in the same way as the formula  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  was established by Sturdivant <sup>10</sup> and Lindqvist <sup>11</sup>. As it seemed very strange that  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  with a=8.38 Å, b=36.12 Å,

c=10.46 Å and  $\beta=116^{\circ}$  O' <sup>10</sup> should give the same powder photographs as  $3(\mathrm{NH_4})_2\mathrm{O}\cdot7\mathrm{MoO_3}\cdot(2-\mathrm{x})\mathrm{O}\cdot6\mathrm{H_2O}$ , as Cagliotti <sup>8</sup> states, we compared the powder photographs of the two compounds. They were quite different. As Cagliotti does not state the radiation used by him we have not been able to compare his values with ours which are given in Table 2.

3. 
$$2(NH_4)_2O \cdot 5MoO_3 \cdot (5-x)O \cdot 9H_2O (x<1.5)$$

All efforts to get the compound richest in oxygen described by Muthmann and Nagel have been in vain. The solutions are very unstable and give in most cases  $3(\mathrm{NH_4})_2\mathrm{O}\cdot7\mathrm{MoO_3}\cdot(2-x)\mathrm{O}\cdot6\mathrm{H_2O}$ . In a few preparations, however, we have obtained another compound with high but varying oxygen content. Plate-shaped and orangecoloured hexagons were formed by room temperature (lowest oxygen content) or low temperature (+ 4°C) (highest oxygen content) evaporation of paramolybdate solutions in  $\mathrm{H_2O_2}$ , saturated at low temperature (+ 4°C). Some of the crystals showed signs of efflorescence while others proved to be stable. Some of the latter were picked out and analyzed:

Analyses:	Found	Calc. for the comp. $x = 0.63$
$(NH_2)_2O$	9.86 %	9.87 %
$MoO_3$	68.08 »	68.17 »
O <sub>active</sub>	6.62 »	6.62 »
$H_2O$	15.47 »	15.35 »
$(NH_4)_2O:MoO_3$	2.00:5	

The mole ratio is thus surprisingly not 3:7 but 2:5 which would correspond to a formula  $2(NH_4)_2O \cdot 5MoO_3 \cdot (5-x)O \cdot 9H_2O$ . Such a type of molybdate has not been described before.

A single crystal investigation with CuK radiation indicates that the compound is monoclinic with a=12.9 Å, b=18.6 Å, c=10.7 Å,  $\beta=109^\circ$ . The density was determined by the swimming method to be 2.50. The number of Mo atoms in the cell will then be 19.6. This gives a further support to the formula  $2(NH_4)_2O \cdot 5MoO_3 \cdot (5-x)O \cdot 9H_2O$ .

This compound gives powder photographs (Table 2) with weak lines, which are difficult to detect together with the lines of other phases. We have not tried to determine the limits of the oxygen content.

#### SUMMARY

The existence of a solid solution of peroxide oxygen in ammonium paramolybdate  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  has been proved. These crystals have been shown to be identical with those described by Moeller and Fock as  $(NH_4)_2Mo_2O_8$ . The lemon yellow ammonium permolybdate first obtained by Baerwald has been found to possess the formula  $3(NH_4)_2O \cdot 7MoO_3 \cdot (2-x)O \cdot 6H_2O$  (x<0.5). It is monoclinic with a=10.7 Å, b=10.2 Å, c=30.0 Å and  $\beta=106^\circ$ . The unit cell contains 4 units of the above formula. Spacegroup  $C_{2k}^5-P2_1/c$ .

We have also found an orange-coloured compound of a new type and with the formula  $2(NH_4)_2O \cdot 5MoO_3 \cdot (5-x)O \cdot 9H_2O$  (x < 1.5). This is monoclinic with a = 12.9 Å, b = 18.6 Å, c = 10.7 Å,  $\beta = 109^{\circ}$ . The unit cell contains 4 formula units.

An effort will be made to determine the crystal structures of these compounds.

This investigation has been supported by a grant, which is here gratefully acknowledged, from Statens Naturvetenskapliga Forskningsråd.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Werther, G. J. prakt. Chem. 83 (1861) 198.
- 2. Baerwald, C. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Molybdäns. Diss. Berlin 1885.
- 3. Péchard, E. Compt. rend. 112 (1891: I) 721; Ann. chim. et phys. 28 (1893) 537.
- 4. Dufet, H. Bull. soc. franç. minéral. 14 (1891) 206.
- 5. Moeller, G. Z. physik. Chem. 12 (1893) 562.
- 6. Fock, A. Z. Krist. 22 (1894) 32.
- 7. Muthmann, W., und Nagel, W. Z. anorg. Chem. 17 (1898) 76; Ber. 31 (1898) 1836.
- 8. Cagliotti, V. Gazz. chim. ital. 61 (1931) 257.
- 9. Rosenheim, A., Hakki, M., und Krause, D. Z. anorg. Chem. 209 (1932) 178.
- 10. Sturdivant, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59 (1937) 630.
- 11. Lindqvist, I. Acta Chem. Scand. 2 (1948) 89.
- 12. Gmelin Handb. der anorg. Chem. 8. Aufl. 53: Mo 254.
- 13. Groth, P. Chem. Kryst. II 603. Leipzig (1908).

Received October 6, 1949.